POSTPONED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS OF OCTOBER SESSION, 1952.

Commandeering of a House in Tumkur by the District Magistrate.

Q.—11. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the District Magistrate, Tumkur, commandeered a house belonging to one Nama Sriranga Setty, Jeweller, Tumkur, under the Defence of India Rules for the use and occupation of the District Forest Officer, Tumkur, in the month of August 1952;

(b) whether this house had already been allotted to the owner himself for his personal use and occupation by the previous District Magistrate under the House Rent Control Act, in the month of May 1952;

(c) whether the District Forest Officer, Tumkur, had been occupying another house since his arrival in Tumkur;

(d) whether possession of the commandeered house was obtained by the police authorities forcibly breaking open the lock of the house even while the owner was petitioning the District Magistrate to apply his mind to the facts of the case;

(e) whether Police Constables and Forest Guards in uniform were placed on sentry duty at the premises until the District Forest Officer moved into the house;

(f) the market value of, as well as the purchase price paid by Nama Sriranga Setty, for this house; and the rent fixed for it by the District Magistrate;

(g) (i) the salary of the District Forest Officer, Tumkur, and (ii) the rent that was being paid by him for the house previously occupied by him;

(h) the text of the particular section of the Defence of India Rules resorted to by the District Magistrate?

A.—Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO (Minister for Law and Education).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes.

(d) It is reported that possession was taken only after the owner disobeyed the orders of the Deputy Commissioner and tried to meddle with the house making it unfit for occupation.

(e) Police watch was arranged for a day to prevent clandestine occupation or meddling with the building so as to make it unfit for occupation.

(f) The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, was holding an enquiry in the matter of fixing fair rent under H.R. and A.C. Act, 1951, and no final orders are made as yet.

(g) (i) Rs. 375 p.m. from 1st October 1951.

(ii) No information is available.

(h) Rule 75 (A) of the Defence of India Rules as applied to Mysore and continued by the Supplies Services and Miscellaneous Provisions (Temporary Powers) of 1947.

Vaisakhi Paddy Crop.

Q.—14. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the acreage under Vaisakhi paddy crop;

(b) the quantity of paddy harvested;

(c) the quantity of paddy procured under the Harvest Acquisition Order under each of the Reservoirs and Major Tanks in Tumkur District during the years 1950, 1951 and 1952?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) to (*c*)—

1950

Taluks	No. of Tanks	Acreage under Vaishaki paddy	Qty. of paddy harvested	Qty. of paddy procured
1. Tumkur ..	4	1,580	9,977	5,234
2. Kunigal .	4	2,228	11,032	4,640
3. Gubbi ..	7	3,360	30,460	12,523
4. Tiptur ..	2	69	134	17
5. Chiknaikanahalli	6	1,350	11,875	1,583
6. Turuvekere ..	2	7	50	..
7. Sira ..	9	1,692	13,801	4,085
8. Madhugiri ..	16	3,869	49,842	4,200
9. Koratagere ..	5	2,102	19,404	3,187
10. Pavagada ..	33	2,470	25,980	1,033
1951				
1. Tumkur ..	5	700	5,400	523
2. Kunigal ..	9	1,866	11,189	2,630
3. Gubbi ..	8	3,624	47,362	12,210
4. Tiptur ..	4	214	1,955	249
5. Chiknaikanahalli	7	500	5,374	465
6. Turuvekere		..	..	..
7. Sira ..	9	1,415	12,776	1,481
8. Madhugiri ..	17	3,270	32,700	3,000
9. Koratagere ..	5	1,312	12,342	2,304
10. Pavagada ..	34	3,393	32,040	1,181
1952				
1. Tumkur ..	4	155	523	..
2. Kunigal ..	6	593	3,085	198
3. Gubbi ..	4	1,280	11,800	225
4. Tiptur ..	4	115	520	..
5. Chiknaikanahalli	3	180	1,440	26
6. Turuvekere ..	3	30	145	..
7. Sira ..	1	265	1,990	50
8. Madhugiri ..	16	672	1,350	..
9. Koratagere ..	5	482	1,528	..
10. Pavagada ..	4	105	850	..

Village Officers.

Q.—15. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of Village Officers in the State now—

(i) who are themselves Registered Barawardars and are performing their duties;

(ii) who are deputising or officiating for the Registered Barawardars and have no hereditary rights themselves;

(*b*) the aggregate extent of Service Inam lands held by all categories of Village Officers at present;

(*c*) the extent of such Inam lands on which any assessment is being levied and the annual demand;

(*d*) the annual expenditure being incurred for payment of Potgi to Village Officers;

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) 23,219.

(i) 14,538.

(ii) 8,681.

(*b*) 1,51,264 acres 36 guntas.

(*c*) The details are not readily available. All the available particulars are given in the statement printed below.

Name of District.	*The extent of such Inam lands on which any assessment is being levied and the annual demand.*	Rs.	a.	p.
1 Bangalore—Nil	..	55,127	3	0
2 Kolar—20,192 acres	..	51,017	15	11
3 Tumkur—Nil	..	28,086	12	1
4 Mysore—Cesses and Jodi only are being levied.				
5 Mandya—No assessment is being levied. Only quit rent is being recovered on Inam lands.				
6 Hassan—Annual demand in Chennarayapatna and Belur. Extent on which assessment is levied. 771 acres 10 guntas.		2,417	6	0
7 Shimoga	.. ..	25,778	10	6
8 Chikmagalur	.. ..	5,982	0	0
9 Chitaldrug	.. ..	12,039	14	7

(*d*) Rs. 15,80,508-7-1.